

## **ORDINANCE 2021-06**

**AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT HEARINGS BOARD, AMENDING THE CITY OF CORVALLIS COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, DISSOLVING THE BOARD, DELEGATING THE BOARD'S RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION, AND STATING AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF MAY 25, 2021.**

### **THE CITY OF CORVALLIS ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. Legislative Findings. The Council finds:

- a) The Council held a duly advertised public hearing on May 3, 2021, to consider the proposed Land Development Code ("LDC") and Comprehensive Plan text amendments, in accordance with LDC § 1.2.80 and § 2.1.30.06 ; and
- b) The public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed LDC text amendments, in accordance with LDC § 1.2.80.01. The City Council incorporates the staff analysis and findings from the Planning Commission Staff Report dated April 7, 2021, and adopts the analysis and findings as the City Council's own; and
- c) The proposed LDC text amendments conform with Article 1, "Introduction and General Policies," of the Corvallis Comprehensive Plan, and comply with Statewide Planning Goal 1, "Citizen Involvement," in accordance with LDC § 1.2.80.01 and § 2.1.30.06. The City Council incorporates the staff analysis and findings from the Planning Commission Staff Report dated April 7, 2021, and adopts the analysis and findings as the City Council's own ; and
- d) There is a demonstrated need for the Comprehensive Plan change, the advantages to the community resulting from the change outweigh the disadvantages, and the change proposed is a desired means of meeting the public need, in accordance with LDC § 2.1.30.06. The City Council incorporates the staff analysis and findings from the Planning Commission Staff Report dated April 7, 2021, and adopts the analysis and findings as the City Council's own; and
- e) The proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments conform with Article 1, "Introduction and General Policies," of the Corvallis Comprehensive Plan, and complies with Statewide Planning Goal 1, "Citizen Involvement;" in accordance with LDC § 1.2.80.01 and § 2.1.30.06. The City Council incorporates the staff analysis and findings from the Planning Commission Staff Report dated April 7, 2021, and adopts the analysis and findings as the City Council's own.

Section 2. Land Development Code Section 1.0.30.b. is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 3. Land Development Code Section 1.1.10 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 4. Land Development Code Section 1.1.30 ("Land Development Hearings Board") is repealed.

Section 5. Land Development Code Section 1.1.50 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 6. Land Development Code Section 1.2.90.02.f. is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 7. Land Development Code Section 1.3.20.a. is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 8. Land Development Code Section 1.3.30 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 9. Land Development Code Section 1.3.40 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 10. Land Development Code Section 1.3.50 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 11. Land Development Code Section 1.3.60 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 12. Land Development Code Section 1.6.30 the definition of “Quasi-judicial Decision” is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 13. Land Development Code Section 2.0.25.a.1 through 3 are amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 14. Land Development Code Section 2.0.40.01.b, Section 2.0.40.01.b.1, Section 2.0.40.01.b.4, and Section 2.0.40.01.c, are amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 15. Land Development Code Section 2.0.50.04.c.7. and Section 2.0.50.16.a is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 16. Land Development Code Section 2.0.60.a.1 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 17. Land Development Code Section 2.2.10.c is repealed.

Section 18. Land Development Code Section 2.2.40.03.b.2 is repealed.

Section 19. Land Development Code Section 2.2.40.08 and Section 2.2.40.09.a are amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 20. Land Development Code Section 2.2.70 is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 21. Land Development Code Section 2.11.60.05, Section 2.11.60.06, Section 2.11.60.07, Section 2.11.60.08 and Section 2.11.60.10 are amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 22. Land Development Code Section 2.12.30.02.b.2, Section 2.12.30.05.c, Section 2.12.30.07.b, Section 2.12.30.08.b, and Section 2.12.30.09.b are amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 23. Land Development Code Section 2.16.30.05.c is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 24. Land Development Code Section 2.19.30.02.d is amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 25. Land Development Code Section 4.7.110.b, Section 4.7.110.d, Section 4.7.110.e, and Section 4.7.110.f are amended as set out in Exhibit A to this Ordinance.

Section 26. Comprehensive Plan Article 1.2 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 27. Comprehensive Plan Article 1.4 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 28. Comprehensive Plan Policies 2.2.1, 2.2.2 and 2.2.3 are amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 29. Comprehensive Plan Table 2.4 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 30. Comprehensive Plan Table 2.5 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 31. Comprehensive Plan Table 3.4 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 32. Comprehensive Plan Table 4.15 is repealed.

Section 33. Comprehensive Plan Table 5.8 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 34. Comprehensive Plan Table 6.4 is repealed.

Section 35. Comprehensive Plan Table 7.9 is repealed.

Section 36. Comprehensive Plan Table 9.9 is repealed.

Section 37. Comprehensive Plan Table 10.10 is repealed.

Section 38. Comprehensive Plan Table 11.16 is repealed.

Section 39. Comprehensive Plan Table 12.4 is repealed.

Section 40. Comprehensive Plan Table 13.15 is repealed.

Section 41. Comprehensive Plan Table 14.6 is amended as set out in Exhibit B to this Ordinance.

Section 42. Exhibits A and B to this Ordinance are attached and incorporated as part of this ordinance.

Section 43. No other provision of the Comprehensive Plan or Land Development Code is amended by this ordinance.

Section 44. The best interest of the people of the City of Corvallis will be served if the effective date of this ordinance is delayed until May 25, 2021.

PASSED by the City Council this 3rd day of May 2021

APPROVED by the Mayor this 4th day of May 2021

EFFECTIVE this 25th day of May 2021

DocuSigned by:  
*Biff Traber*  
C931E9D22C1D4A4...  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

ATTEST:

DocuSigned by:  
*Carla Holzworth*  
52638DC2B1C446A...  
\_\_\_\_\_

City Recorder

## **ORDINANCE 2021-06 EXHIBIT A**

*Headings in this exhibit, corresponding to Sections 2, 3 and 5 through 25 of this ordinance, are provided for reference, but will not be included in the text of the Land Development Code.*

### ***Section 2.***

#### **Section 1.0.30 – ORGANIZATION OF THIS CODE**

[...]

b. **Article I** describes the responsibilities of the City Council, Planning Commission, Historic Resources Commission, and Community Development Director. It also provides basic information on the legal framework of this Code, definitions of uncommon words, definitions of words that have specific meaning to this Code, and enforcement provisions.

[...]

### ***Section 3.***

#### **Section 1.1.10 – THE CITY COUNCIL**

##### **1.1.10.01 - Authority and Responsibility**

The State has delegated to the City Council responsibility for adopting land use plans and controls. The City has adopted this Code pursuant to its responsibilities to secure the health, safety, and welfare of its citizens and also pursuant to its home rule authority. The City Council has created a Planning Commission and a Historic Resources Commission to implement such plans and controls. In addition, the state has authorized the Council to act upon applications for development or to delegate its authority to act upon such applications.

##### **1.1.10.02 - Powers and Duties**

The City Council has the following powers and duties in addition to any others it may now have, be given, or confer upon itself. The City Council:

- a. May adopt, amend, supplement, or repeal plans and policies for development of the community;
- b. May adopt, amend, supplement, or repeal the text of any provisions or regulations of this Code or the boundaries of zones established on the Official Zoning Map;
- c. Shall review decisions of the Planning Commission, Historic Resources Commission, and Director, upon appeal;
- d. Shall appoint members of the Planning Commission and Historic Resources Commission; and
- e. May establish a reasonable schedule of fees with respect to matters under this Code.

## ***Section 5.***

### **Section 1.1.50 – COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR**

[...]

#### **1.1.50.02 – Powers and Duties**

The Director provides professional planning assistance to citizens, City Council, Planning Commission, Historic Resources Commission, and City Manager and is authorized to interpret provisions of this Code and to perform other such duties in the administration of the Land Development Code as are required herein. Such powers and duties may be accomplished by person(s) as designated by the Director.

## ***Section 6.***

### **Section 1.2.90 – THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP**

[...]

#### **1.2.90.02 – Interpretation of Zone Boundaries**

[...]

f. Boundaries indicated as approximately following the center lines of alleys, streams, rivers, lakes, or other bodies of water shall be construed as following such center lines.

Where uncertainties continue to exist after application of the above rules, the Planning Commission shall determine the location of such boundaries.

## ***Section 7.***

### **Section 1.3.20 – BUILDING PERMIT**

[...]

a. Proposed development complies with the provisions of this Code, including any Conditions of Approval established by the authority of the City Council, the Planning Commission, the Historic Resources Commission, or otherwise authorized by this Code, City Ordinances, or state law;

[...]

## ***Section 8.***

### **Section 1.3.30 – CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY**

No certificate of occupancy shall be issued by the Building Official for any development unless all requirements of this Code have been met, including any Conditions of Approval established by the authority of the City Council, the Planning Commission, the Historic Resources Commission, or otherwise authorized by this Code, City Ordinances, or state law, or until the applicant has provided some written form of

assurance acceptable to the Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, and guaranteeing the completion of all requirements.

## ***Section 9.***

### **Section 1.3.40 – NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PLANS**

If the Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, determines that a development substantially differs from the approved plans or the provisions of this Code, including any Conditions of Approval established by the authority of the City Council, the Planning Commission, the Historic Resources Commission, or otherwise authorized by this Code, City Ordinances, or state law, the Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, shall notify the developer and Building Official in writing. Thereafter, the Building Official may issue orders to the developer as are within the range of authority available to the Building Official, and upon continued non-compliance may withhold site development permits and/or Building Permits for further construction or revoke those permits previously issued until compliance is achieved.

## ***Section 10.***

### **Section 1.3.50 – STOP WORK ORDER**

Whenever any work is done contrary to the provisions of this Code, including any Conditions of Approval established by the authority of the City Council, the Planning Commission, the Historic Resources Commission, or otherwise authorized by this Code, City Ordinances, or state law, the Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, may order the work stopped by notice in writing served on any persons engaged in the work, and any such persons shall immediately stop such work until authorized by the Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, to proceed.

## ***Section 11.***

### **Section 1.3.60 – VIOLATIONS**

Use of land in the City of Corvallis not in accordance with the provisions of this Code, including any Conditions of Approval established by the authority of the City Council, the Planning Commission, the Historic Resources Commission, or otherwise authorized by this Code, City Ordinances, or state law, constitutes a violation. Upon receiving information concerning a violation of this Code, the Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, may conduct an investigation to determine whether a violation exists. The Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, may request the assistance of other City agencies and officers in conducting such investigations.

The Director or Floodplain Administrator or designee, as applicable, may prepare and deliver to the City Attorney a request for prosecution indicating the location and nature of the suspected violation, applicable Code sections, and other information provided by the staff.

## ***Section 12.***

### **Section 1.6.30 – SPECIFIC WORDS AND TERMS**

[...]

**Quasi-judicial Decision** - Similar to a court proceeding in which affected parties are afforded procedural safeguards. The quasi-judicial process is characteristic of most meetings of the Planning Commission and Historic Resources Commission. Personal notice must be mailed to property owners and occupants living within a prescribed distance from the affected area. Unlike legislative cases, the Planning Commission or Historic Resources Commission members are expected to avoid outside discussion of the business at hand and must declare ex parte contacts. See also Legislative Decision.

[...]

### ***Section 13.***

#### Section 2.0.25 – APPLICANT NEIGHBORHOOD MEETINGS

[...]

a. The applicant shall hold an applicant neighborhood meeting prior to submittal of the following types of land-use applications:

1. Annexations
2. Comprehensive Plan Map Amendments (Quasi-Judicial)
3. Zone Changes (Quasi-Judicial)

[...]

### ***Section 14.***

#### Section 2.0.40 – LEGISLATIVE HEARINGS

##### 2.0.40.01 - Notice

[...]

b. Notice Requirements Pursuant to ORS 227.186 - Notice shall be provided to property owners affected by legislative land use actions in the following manner:

1. Notice Recipients - The statutory notices required by Oregon Revised Statute 227.186, as amended over time, shall be provided in addition to any other notice required by the Code. These notices include:

[...]

4. Re-notification Required - If, during the legislative land use action for which notices have been provided in accordance with ORS 227.186, as amended over time, the hearing authority has re-zoned property not previously noticed, or further limited or prohibited uses not previously identified, then re-notification shall occur in accordance with these provisions.

[...]

c. Other Notice Requirements - Notice shall also be provided to the following parties affected by legislative land use actions:

1. Impacted transportation facility and service providers, such as the Oregon Department of Transportation, Benton County and Corvallis Transit System; and
2. Any other person, agency, or organization that has filed a request to the Director to receive emailed notices of hearings, or mailed paper notices and has paid a reasonable fee to cover noticing therefor.

### ***Section 15.***

#### **Section 2.0.50 - QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARINGS**

[...]

##### **Section 2.0.50.04 – Public Notice**

[...]

c. Notice List - The notice shall be sent by mail and/or email at least 20 days prior to the hearing to the following persons:

[...]

7. Any other person, agency, or organization that has filed a request to the Director to receive emailed notices of hearings, or paper notices and has paid a reasonable fee to cover noticing therefor;

[...]

##### **Section 2.0.50.16 – Multiple Applications Filed Together**

[...]

a. If any of those applications would ordinarily be heard by the Planning Commission, all of the applications shall be heard by the Planning Commission at the same meeting, except as outlined in "b," below. For example, applications for Administrative Zone Changes are ordinarily acted on by the Director. When an Administrative Zone Change is sought simultaneously with a Conditional Development, however, the two applications shall be considered together by the Planning Commission and no action by the Director shall be required.

[...]

### ***Section 16.***

#### **Section 2.0.60 – PROCEDURES FOR HEARINGS INVOLVING REMANDS FROM THE STATE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS (LUBA)**

[...]



a. The Director shall present the remand directly to the City Council so that it can decide how to proceed. The Director shall inform the City Council of the nature of the remand, and the Council shall make a formal decision regarding procedures prior to any hearing to decide the matter. The Council may decide to do any of the following:

1. Send the matter to another authorized hearing authority, such as the Historic Resources Commission or Planning Commission;

[...]

### ***Section 17.***

#### **Section 2.2.10 – BACKGROUND**

[...]

c. Historic Resources Commission in the case of Zone Changes that require a public hearing and involve the application or removal of a Historic Preservation Overlay; and

d. Upon appeal, by the City Council, depending on the nature of the proposed Change.

The City Council designates the Director as having the authority to make Administrative Zone Change decisions. The City Council designates the Planning Commission as having the authority to make quasi-judicial Zone Change decisions requiring a public hearing and designates the Historic Resources Commission as having the authority to make Zone Change decisions regarding the application or removal of a Historic Preservation Overlay in cases where a public hearing is required.

### ***Section 18.***

#### **Section 2.2.40 – QUASI-JUDICIAL CHANGE PROCEDURES FOR ZONE CHANGES SUBJECT TO A PUBLIC HEARING**

[...]

##### **Section 2.2.40.03 – Acceptance of Application**

[...]

b. After accepting a complete application, the Director shall schedule a public hearing. The public hearing will be conducted by:

1. The Planning Commission, if the Zone Change is not a request to apply or remove a Historic Preservation Overlay;

2. The Historic Resources Commission, if the request is to apply or remove a Historic Preservation Overlay and does not meet the definition for an Administrative Zone Change outlined in Section 2.2.50.b.

[...]

## ***Section 19.***

### **Section 2.2.40.08 – Appeals**

The decision of the Planning Commission or Historic Resources Commission may be appealed in accordance with Chapter 2.19 - Appeals.

[...]

### **Section 2.2.40.09 – Effective Date**

a. Unless an appeal has been filed, decisions of the Historic Resources Commission shall become effective 12 days after the Notice of Disposition is signed. Once a Zone Change to add or remove a Historic Preservation Overlay is in effect, the Historic Preservation Overlay shall be added to, or removed from, the Official Zoning Map, as appropriate.

## ***Section 20.***

### **Section 2.2.70 – MAP ERRORS**

If the Planning Commission or City Council approves a Zone Change, but the Director discovers that the Official Zoning Map was not altered to accurately reflect the Zone Change, the Director shall correct the Official Zoning Map to comply with the Zone Change without any additional public review. The amendment shall not be corrected if the City Council subsequently approves a Zone Change affecting the initial approval. If the Director discovers an inconsistency between the Official Zoning Map and the Comprehensive Plan Map, the Director shall correct the Official Zoning Map to make it consistent with the Comprehensive Plan Map, without any additional public review. Map corrections made by the Director shall be reported to the Council and owner of the property receiving the correction by noting the correction as a consent item on a Council agenda following the correction, and by mailing the property owner notification of the correction.

## ***Section 21.***

### **Section 2.11.60 – VARIANCES**

[...]

### **Section 2.11.60.05 – Staff Evaluation**

The Floodplain Administrator or designee shall prepare a report that evaluates whether or not the proposal qualifies as a Floodplain Development Permit Variance per Section 2.11.60.01, includes the required application materials per Section 2.11.60.02, meets the purposes in Sections 2.11.20 and 4.5.10, and complies with the review criteria in Section 2.11.60.06. The Floodplain Administrator or designee shall also evaluate the proposed Floodplain Development Permit Variance with respect to the review criteria in Section 2.11.50.04, to see how many of the criteria can still be met. The report shall include a recommendation for approval or denial and, if needed, a list of conditions for the Planning Commission to consider if an approval is granted.

[...]

#### Section 2.11.60.06 – Review Criteria for a Variance Application

In reviewing requests for the approval of a Floodplain Development Permit Variance, the Planning Commission shall consider the purposes of this Chapter, the purposes in Section 4.5.10, the Variance thresholds contained in Section 2.11.60.01, the base Floodplain Development Permit review criteria in Section 2.11.50.04, standards specified in other sections of this chapter and Chapter 4.5 - Floodplain Provisions, all technical evaluations, and the criteria in "a," through "d," below. All of the criteria in "a," through "d," below, shall be met. In addition, all of the review criteria in Section 2.11.50.04 shall be met, with the exception of specific aspects of the criteria that cannot be met because of the nature of the Floodplain Development Permit Variance request. It is the applicant's burden to show that the Variance is warranted and meets said criteria.

[...]

#### Section 2.11.60.07 – Action on Variance Application

The Planning Commission shall conduct a public hearing in accordance with Chapter 2.0 - Public Involvement. Following the close of the public hearing, the Commission shall approve, conditionally approve, or deny the Floodplain Development Permit Variance. The Commission's decision shall include findings that specify how the application has or has not complied with the review criteria in Section 2.11.60.06. The Commission's decision shall also include findings that specify the extent to which the application has or has not complied with the original Floodplain Development Permit review criteria in Section 2.11.50.04.

[...]

#### Section 2.11.60.08 – Notice of Disposition

The Floodplain Administrator or designee shall provide the applicant with a Notice of Disposition in accordance with Chapter 2.0 - Public Involvement that includes a written statement of the Planning Commission's decision, a reference to findings leading to it, any Conditions of Approval, and the appeal period deadline.

[...]

#### Section 2.11.60.10 – Appeals

The decision of the Planning Commission may be appealed in accordance with Chapter 2.19 - Appeals.

### ***Section 22.***

#### Section 2.12.30 – PROCEDURES

[...]

##### 2.12.30.02 - Acceptance of Application

[...]

##### b. Major Lot Development Option –

[...]

2. After accepting a complete application, the Director shall schedule a public hearing to be held by the Planning Commission. Notice of the hearing shall be provided in accordance with Chapter 2.0 - Public Involvement.

[...]

#### 2.12.30.05 – Staff Evaluation

[...]

c. Major Lot Development Option – The Director shall prepare a report that evaluates whether the proposal complies with the review criteria in Section 2.12.30.06.c, below. The report shall include a recommendation for approval or denial and, if needed, a list of conditions for the Planning Commission to consider if an approval is granted.

[...]

#### 2.12.30.07 - Action on Application

[...]

b. Major Lot Development Option - The Planning Commission shall conduct a public hearing in accordance with Chapter 2.0 - Public Involvement. Following the close of the public hearing, the Commission shall approve, conditionally approve, or deny the Major Lot Development Option. The Commission's decision shall include findings that specify how the application has or has not complied with the review criteria in Section 2.12.30.06.c.

#### 2.12.30.08 – Notice of Disposition

[...]

b. Major Lot Development Option - The Director shall provide the applicant with a Notice of Disposition in accordance with Chapter 2.0 - Public Involvement that includes a written statement of the Planning Commission's decision, a reference to findings leading to it, any Conditions of Approval, and the appeal period deadline. A Notice of Disposition shall also be mailed to persons who presented oral or written testimony at the public hearing.

#### 2.12.30.09 – Appeals

[...]

b. Major Lot Development Option - The decision of the Planning Commission may be appealed in accordance with Chapter 2.19 - Appeals.

### ***Section 23.***

#### Section 2.16.30.05 – Action by Director

[...]

c. Director's Interpretations are advisory only and do not bind the Historic Resources Commission, Planning Commission, or City Council in making their decisions.

[...]

#### ***Section 24.***

Section 2.19.30.02 – Hearings Authority

[...]

d. Appeals of decisions made under the authority of this Code by the Planning Commission or the Historic Resources Commission shall be reviewed by the City Council.

[...]

#### ***Section 25.***

Section 4.7.110 – VARIANCE TO STANDARDS

[...]

b. The Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing and provide notice on the application in accordance with Chapter 2.0 - Public Involvement.

[...]

d. To approve a Sign Variance request, the Planning Commission must find that the application meets all of the following criteria:

[...]

e. The Planning Commission shall impose such conditions on the approval as necessary to achieve the purposes of these regulations.

f. The decision of the Planning Commission shall be final unless appealed to the City Council in accordance with Chapter 2.19 - Appeals.

## ORDINANCE 2021-\_\_\_\_ EXHIBIT B

*Headings in this exhibit, corresponding to Sections 26 through 31, 33, and 41 of this ordinance, are provided for reference, but will not be included in the text of the Comprehensive Plan.*

### **Section 26.**

#### **Article 1.2      Local Planning Process**

[...]

The Comprehensive Plan is implemented through the primary mechanisms such as those mentioned above. The Planning Commission, City Council, Historic Resources Commission, and Community Development Director are the parties responsible for making land use decisions. The Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board advises the City Council on matters related to ensuring appropriate public involvement in the City's overall planning process. The Board is further discussed in Article 2. The roles of the parties mentioned above in reviewing applications are specified in the Land Development Code.

[...]

### **Section 27.**

#### **Article 1.4      Advisory Boards**

Planning Commission
City Council
Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board

### **Section 28.**

#### **Article 2.2      Citizen Participation**

##### **Findings**

[...]

##### **Policies**

2.2.1 The City shall appoint a Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board that is independent from all other boards and commissions, and whose function is to educate and facilitate citizen

involvement in all phases of land use planning and decision making. The Board will review the effectiveness of all citizen involvement efforts and make recommendations to the City Council.

2.2.2 Appointments to the Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board shall be made to provide opportunities for citizens to advise on processes by which land use decisions are made.

2.2.3 The Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board shall develop an annual work plan that includes an evaluation of the effectiveness of the City’s citizen involvement efforts for the preceding year and goals for the upcoming year.

[...]

**Section 29.**

**Article 2.4      Advisory Boards**

Planning Commission
Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board

**Section 30.**

**Article 2.5      Mandated Reports / Plans / Inventories**

Citizen Attitude Survey
-------------------------

**Section 31.**

**Article 3.4      Advisory Boards**

Corvallis Planning Commission
-------------------------------

**Section 33.**

**Article 5.8      Advisory Boards**

Historic Resources Commission
-------------------------------

***Section 41.***

**Article 14.6    Advisory Boards**

Community Involvement and Diversity Policy Advisory Board
---